

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,694	02/27/2004	Stephen M. Potter	3932	9316	
22474 Clements Berr	7590 02/24/201 nard PLLC	0	EXAMIN		
1901 Roxboro		MCGUTHRY BANKS, TIMA MICHELE			
Suite 250 Charlotte, NC	28211		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/24/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

patlaw@worldpatents.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/789,694	POTTER ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	1793					
	THINA IVI. IVICOUTTINT-DAINNS	1793	l				

	TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	1793						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, checked, checked).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f), Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(g). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(g) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ander 37 CFR 1.17(g) is calculated from; (1) the explained rate of the shortness distancy period for reply originally set in the filed Indice action; of (g) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). MOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3.   ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)  ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•							
7.  ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-40.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 43(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
ТММ	/George Wyszomierski/ Primary Examiner							
	Art Unit 1793							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examiner contends that US '690 does not claim (as opposed to disclose) adding the ore to the furnace at a temperature of 400 C. The examiner made no comment on the disclosure, which teaches the quote by applicant at column 5, lines 43-46. The examiner is relying on the claims of US '690, as appropriate for a double patenting relection.

Regarding applicant's frient to change the upper limit of the temperature range from about 200 C to less than 200 C, a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. See MPEP § 2144.051. Applicants can rebut a prima facie case of obviousness based on overlapping ranges by showing the criticality of the claimed range or by showing that the art, in any material respect, teaches away from the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2144.051 II.

The examiner maintains that the water content will be the same because the temperature range is obvious. Regarding the step of providing tump feed material (Claim 27) including storing said lump feed ... in an open atmosphere stockpile (Claim 33), the generation of high levels of fines as argued by applicant is not claimed. The assertion that storage relieves internal stresses would be an inherent property of lump ore since the "microporous structure of the lump ore is sedimentary in nature." Applicant does not provide any comparative results above or below 200 C to distinguish the instant claims from the prior art. One example in the specification is for 50x-750 C (page 6), line 50.